

Chapter 5 - The World of Slavery and Voluntary Servitude -

Did you Volunteer? Are you NOT HAPPY?

"The rich ruleth over the poor, and the borrower is servant to the lender." - Proverbs 22:7.

"...and thou shalt not borrow." - Duet. 28:12.

"The wicked have drawn out the sword, and have bent their bow, to cast down the poor and needy, and to slay such as be of upright conversation." - Psalms 37:14.

A) "Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude,..." - Amend. XIII, United States Constitution.

Did you know that you volunteered? Did you know that approx. 99.9% of the individuals of the United States of America volunteered? How is(was) this possible? Did your high school teacher of civics teach you about this? Does your preacher teach you about this? IF NOT, WHY NOT?

There have been dozens of individuals, rather self-righteous while lining their pockets with profits, that have written(and will write more) books about the XIV Amend. to the U.S.C.. The history of impropriety, illegality, fraud, etc., etc. is akin to 'beating a dead horse' in our society. Okay, I get it. When do we move forward?

The Constitution of the United States of America, circa 1787, and United States Constitution, circa 1881, were corporations registered in London, England. Did anyone notice the different title language of each of the constitutions? Two separate corporations with different names. One may verify this with a 'proper' search of 'listed' and 'registered' corporations in Great Britian.

Is there anyone out there which does not know that the United States 'filed' for bankruptcy in 1933? Apparently, there are thousands and thousands which do not know this fact. Dozens of 'experts' write books, speak on radio programs, write articles on their web-sites, etc. and state, "The United States went bankrupt in 1933!". At best these individuals have demonstrated a failure to do minimum 'due-diligence' and at worst have demonstrated that they are 'dupps-of-the-antidisestablishment government agents.

The acceptance of the bankruptcy of the United States was 1938. This is the date of bakruptcy. And, you cry that I am a 'nit-picker'! 'Filing' and 'acceptance' are two 'distinct' actions. WHILE, you are reading, HAS it occurred to you HOW any THING other than a corporation could file and be accepted in a bankruptcy court???? What about individuals which file for banktruptcy? Are 'they', those individuals, corporate? Want to THINK about this? Or, just dismiss 'it' because you already 'know-what-you-know'? Last half of Proverbs 1:7?

The 'Bankruptcy Court' was and is, now, located in Puerto Rico. (I wonder if that is how someone came-up with the term, Ricco Act?) This court found and ruled that the Congress of the United States 'must' ordain, establish, and en-act a certain federal law for the bankruptcy acceptance to be 'allowed' and 'accepted'.

In the vernacular(Latin, vernaculus - homeborn slaves, domestic, native, indigenous, from verna, a homeborn slave) of many individuals, this act was known as the 'Buck Act'. Wonder how and why President Truman coined the phrase, 'The Buck Stops Here'? Don't you just 'love-it' when your language is 'debased' and any area of individuals can just 'use' any word which they choose regardless of meaning as long as it 'just feels good to them'? This is called the 'local vernacular'. The WORD of THE FATHER calls this 'every man walking after the imagination of his own heart'. Might want to read Jer. 13:10 and a few others about 'imagination'. After all, this is just 'nit-picking'??

This act passed by Congress is the 'Public Salary Tax Act of 1939'. Did you 'see' the word 'Public' in said title? Are you 'one-of-the public'? Have you thoroughly read the definitions of the word, 'public'? How about a 'publican'(s) in the bible? Got some home-work to do? What about the 'legal' definitions in the United States CODE about the definitions of 'public'?

The 'P.S.T.A. of 1939 ' is Title 4, Secs. 100-113. In simplicity, this act says that 'if one takes a privilege, gratuity, or service of the Federal Government', then is 'one' of the 'Public' which is defined as a federal employee and thusly, is subject to the Public Salary Tax Act. YOU JUST FOUND OUT HOW YOU BECAME a 'verna': a home-born-slave. Did you just learn-learn-learn something about 'born' and 'naturalized'?

Use of a ZIP Code, Social Security, un-employment, medi-care, medi-aid, licenses, driving roads which have federal funds spent on same, 'voting' as opposed to 'casting a ballot', birth certificates, etc., etc. adnausium are 'privileges, services, or gratuities of the FEDERALISTICAS and you are in their territory subject to their 'whims'. Don't you just love 'nit-picking'? How about all the 'emotional' whigs which say, 'Show me the law which makes me libel to pay the Internal Revenue Service!'. How many books have been 'sold' and 'pocketed-profits' to say the simple words on this page which cost you 'only' your time to read a few words. Why don't you call-up your favorite radio-host and express your opinion of 'his or her' pontificate actions and words???

"All persons born and naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the state wherein they reside." - Amend. XIV, United States Constitution. Did you notice that 'it' does not say 'The Constitution for(of) the United States of America? How about that 're-registration' in 1871? What is the language in the 'Preamble' of said 'original' document? Are we reading for 'content'? Are we taking our 'time' to think?

When you came out of your mother's womb you were 'born'! Your mother 'birthed' you! Only a female of 'age' can birth. A 'new-born' child

can not 'birth'; yet, it seems that almost all have a 'birth-certificate'. Did you notice that only the Mom signs the birth-certificate? Not the Dad! Ever investigate the 'caininite' rituals of mommy having control of the 'assests'? More of that 'damned' 'nit-picking' 'homework'???

Now, was Mom in an emotional state of happiness, maybe drugged, totally competent to sign a 'legal-contract' at that time? Did Dad think about all the 'ramifications' of Mom 'signing'? Were both individuals 'informed' that this 'birth certificate' made junior one of the 'public'? Was junior 'naturalized' and made under the jurisdiction thereof and subject to the federal and (federal) state governments?

Do you remember in week 7 of this 26 week offering(course), last week, (you are going in order of presentation?) Feb. 18, 2010 about a 'Declaration of Inhabitancy' and a 'Revocation of Residency'? Do you see the word, 'resident', in the aforementioned XIV Amendment? Oh, on page 2 of this Part A, did you 'see' the word, 'domestic', as in domicile, under the term vernaculus? Are we able to 'learn' something here?

THUSLY, you and your children were 'naturalized'(monitized-make into money and commerce and into corporate 'entities' in a 'legal' fashion. AND, YOU VOLUNTEERED FOR THIS. You and I did not 'nit-pick' when we were 'born'; and, neither did our parents. And, we became 'verna'(ever wonder about the 'root' word of vermin), home-born-slaves. Welcome, hommies! That damned local vernacular!

"When jurisdiction is not squarely challenged, the subject matter is presumed to exist." *Burkes v Lasker* 441 U.S. 471. How many times did you 'squarely challenge' your XIV Amendment Status? Do you know how to do this? This one of the 'things' which this little adventure/offering is about! Revelations 18:4.

If I remember correctly, in 1943, The 'Williams Case', before the United States Supreme Court, the P.S.T.A. of 1939 - Title 4 the appropriate sections were ruled 'CONSTITUTIONAL'! I am aware of ^g no 'ruling' by the S. Court which has done anything 'except' strengthen 'The Buck Act'/'Public Salary Tax Act of 1939 which was ordered by the Bankruptcy Court in Puerto Rico in 1938. HURRAH for the CONSTITUTION!

Remember, only the 'black robes' in D.C. the home of the D.C.'ers (Disfunctional Critters) can tell anyone what is constitutional and what is 'not-constitutional'. Your 'red-white-and-blue' yankee-doodle-dandies support this 'thing'!!! They agree that the United States Constitution is THE LAW of THE LAND and that the United States Supreme Court has 'the judicial power of the United States' - Article III, section 1.

So, in other terms what is a 14th Amendment Citizen(s)? Do they

have much of something? Black 'folks', which is a 'good' term, circa the Civil War and to today seem to 'think' that this 14th Amendment 'thing' is a 'good deal'. Now, please understand that the following is not meant to be 'belittling or negative': How much 'schooling' did black folks have circa the Civil War? About as much as 'white folks' today? I say that 'both' are very deficient.

IN ALL CASES ABOUT 14th Amend. citizens the United States Supreme Court ONLY SAYS WHAT THE MINIMUM IS that they get! FIND one(1) case which says that a 14th Amend. citizen gets the MAXIMUM: 'EXCEPT THE SHAFT!' Let us all rally for 'THE REPUBLIC!' AND, would someone please find just one(1) case which the United States Supreme Court heard the issue of a 'republican' form of government. The fiction, the illusion, the 'six-pack' dreams of a 'republic' go back to the times of ancient Rome under Caesar. JUST have another beer and talk about the 'Republic'! Very productive thinking, I am sure!

Vernon Lee Bounds v Robert(Bobby) Smith et al. 430 U.S. 817, 97 S.Ct. 1491, Decided April 27, 1977 - CLEARLY states that under 'due process and access to the courts' that a 14th Amendment citizen is entitled to case law 'after' 1960. WANT TO RE-READ the aforementioned sentence?

Want to talk about 'minimum'? Want to wonder why so many individuals get their 'expert Pro-Se' case dumped? Then, those 'experts' complain that THE JUDGE did not know what HE was doing. How many 'pre-1960 cases' did the 'Pro-Se' include in his brief? Wonder why so many members of the 'BAR' scratch their heads when their cases 'get-dumped' in the appeals process?

ONLY A FOOL would 'fail' to have an 'ANNOTATED' case of Bounds. Only a FOOL would use any cases which are not 'ANNOTATED' and photo-copied out of a law-library-case-book. 'Intelligent individuals' would require 'Certified Cases' for submission to any court case. And, in regards to the Bounds case, failure to 'see' and 'acquire' the Cruz and the Younger case would be criminal on your behalf.

Vernon Lee Bounds was a true American hero in the highest sense. His 'jailhouse' lawyering, under extreme conditions, was spectacular. We all owe a debt to Vernon. I sure hope THE LORD smiles on him.

I was a 14th Amend. citizen, fool, and vermin in THE EYES of THE LORD! YOU are challenged! THE CHOICES are reward or punishment! THE LORD is the judge, jury, and executioner(positive or negative)! 'Decide ye this day who ye shall serve'! Get your ass in gear! And, bust it!

I read Proverbs 1:7: Did you?

Jerry F. Kirk, Sui Juris
Calf Creek Township
Section 19, Township 14 N., Range 17 W.
Searcy County, Arkansas
Non-Resident, Non-Domestic Delivery
c/o HC 75, Box 267
Witts Springs, Arkansas Republic
United States of America

